

Medication-Related Osteonecrosis of the Jaw: a Brief Review, Treatment and Practical Guidelines for Dentists

Ioana-Aurița Albu-Stan¹, Daniel-Emil Albu², Diana Cerghizan¹, Lia Yero Eremie¹, Kinga János¹, Monica Baloș¹, Constantin Copotoiu³

¹ University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Tîrgu Mureș, Romania

² Department of Orthopedic Surgery and Traumatology I, County Emergency Clinical Hospital, Tîrgu Mureș, Romania

³ Department of Surgery, University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Tîrgu Mureș, Romania

CORRESPONDENCE

Ioana-Aurița Albu-Stan

Str. Gheorghe Marinescu nr. 38
540099 Tîrgu Mureș, Romania
Tel: +40 265 215 551
E-mail: albu.auritza@gmail.com

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: 4 April, 2017

Accepted: 1 June, 2017

Daniel-Emil Albu • Str. Gheorghe Marinescu nr. 50,
540136 Tîrgu Mureș, Romania. Tel: +40 265 212 111

Diana Cerghizan • Str. Gheorghe Marinescu nr. 38,
540139 Tîrgu Mureș, Romania. Tel: +40 265 215 551

Lia Yero Eremie • Str. Gheorghe Marinescu nr. 38,
540139 Tîrgu Mureș, Romania. Tel: +40 265 215 551

Kinga János¹ • Str. Gheorghe Marinescu nr. 38,
540139 Tîrgu Mureș, Romania. Tel: +40 265 215 551

Monica Baloș • Str. Gheorghe Marinescu nr. 38,
540139 Tîrgu Mureș, Romania. Tel: +40 265 215 551

Constantin Copotoiu • Str. Gheorghe Marinescu
nr. 38, 540139 Tîrgu Mureș, Romania. Tel: +40 265
215 551

ABSTRACT

Osteonecrosis of the jaws is a complication after treatment with antiresorptive drugs. Bisphosphonates (BPs) are widely used to treat conditions with bone metastases of malignant tumors such as multiple myeloma, breast cancer, prostatic cancer, as well as hypercalcemia of malignancy, osteoporosis, Paget's disease, and osteogenesis imperfecta. Denosumab is an antiresorptive agent that is used for the treatment of osteoporosis or metastatic bone diseases. These antiresorptive agents improve the quality of life of patients by increasing strength and bone mineral density, and reducing the risk of bone fractures. More than a decade had passed since the first publication of this pathology, and the occurrence of the disease, its pathophysiology, and proper treatment methods are still not fully elucidated. Prevention is critical in medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw, because the treatment is difficult, and there are no universally accepted treatment protocols. There is an accepted approach of palliation of symptoms and controlling the associated infections. Treatment may follow one of three procedures: conservative management of pain, conservative or extensive (segmental) surgery, depending on the disease stage.

Keywords: osteonecrosis, bisphosphonates, antiresorptive drugs, surgery, quality of life

INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to offer an update on the medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw (MRONJ) and the preventive measures and management strategies that can be applied in osteonecrosis of the jaw (ONJ) due to antiresorptive drugs, and appraise their effectiveness according to the stage of the disease in which they are applied.

It has been more than a decade since the first publication of this pathology, and the occurrence of the disease, its pathophysiology, and proper treatment methods are still not fully elucidated.¹ Osteonecrosis of the jaw appearing after

bisphosphonate treatment was first described as a pathological condition by Marx, in 2003, in the USA. He described 36 cases of bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw (BRONJ) in patients affected by malignant tumors.¹

In 2009, the American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons (AAOMS) established the criteria that define BRONJ as exposed bone in the oral cavities that is attributable to bisphosphonates, no history of radiation therapy, and the exposed bone persisting at least eight weeks without signs of healing.²

The AAOMS has reconvened in September 2013 to debate the current literature and the guidelines on this issue with so many unknowns. As a result, the AAOMS recommended changing the nomenclature of bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw to medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw (MRONJ). The motivation for changing the name was the significant number of cases of osteonecrosis that occurred in patients treated with other antiresorptive drugs, such as denosumab, and antiangiogenic agents.³ The association proposed the following characteristics for MRONJ: treatment with antiresorptive or antiangiogenic medication currently or in the past, the bone remains exposed in the oral cavity for at least eight weeks with no healing, and the patient was not exposed to radiation therapy of the jaw in the past.³

Intravenous (IV) BPs are widely used to treat bone metastases of malignant tumors such as multiple myeloma, breast cancer, prostatic cancer, as well as hypercalcemia of malignancy.⁴⁻⁷ Oral BPs are used to treat osteoporosis, osteopenia, Paget's disease, or osteogenesis imperfecta.⁸⁻¹⁰

Denosumab, a RANK ligand inhibitor, is an antiresorptive agent used for the treatment of osteoporosis or metastatic bone diseases, decreasing bone resorption and increasing bone density.^{11,12} These antiresorptive agents improve the quality of life of patients by increasing strength and bone mineral density and reducing the risk of bone fractures.

Antiangiogenic agents prevent the development of new blood vessels, which leads to the cessation of angiogenesis.¹³

The risk factors for the development of ONJ in oncology patients, in the order of importance, include: intravenous BPs, zoledronic acid, pamidronate, radiation therapy, dental extraction, chemotherapy, periodontal disease, oral BP use, local suppuration, and denture use.¹⁴⁻²² Demographic and systemic factors include: osteoporosis, glucocorticoid therapy, diabetes, erythropoietin therapy, tobacco use, hyperthyroidism, renal dialysis, and increasing age.^{16-18,23,24} Significant risk factors for the development of ONJ in the osteoporotic population, in declining order of importance, include suppuration, BP use, dental extraction, and anemia.¹⁴

MRONJ appears more frequently in the mandible (73%) compared to maxilla (22.5%), and it involves both jaws in 4.5% of the cases.²⁵

The pathophysiology of the disease is not entirely understood, as it was discovered that not only bisphosphonates increases the risk of jaw osteonecrosis. Another antiresorptive drug, denosumab, has similar effects, which stresses the need to explore mechanisms common to both drugs.^{26,27}

Several hypotheses have tried to demonstrate the mechanisms of developing the disease. Given that the disease is multifactorial, it is unlikely that a single theory can explain its occurrence, and it is also unlikely that treatment is effective in all patients. The occurrence of numerous clinical and preclinical studies that reveal more evidence causes our hypotheses and treatment modalities to be in permanent change.

The first theory refers to bone remodeling inhibition. Antiresorptive drugs significantly decrease skeletal-related complications and relieve severe bone pain due to their direct effects on osteoclasts.²⁸⁻³² The primary mechanism of BPs and denosumab is to inhibit osteoclast function and increase apoptosis by different mechanisms, and this leads to altered bone remodeling, which is the leading hypothesis for ONJ development.³³⁻³⁶

Another important theory refers to inflammation and infection, which have been considered an important component of ONJ. Adults' teeth are almost always extracted because they have periapical or periodontal inflammation, and it is well known that extraction is a high-risk factor for developing ONJ.^{3,37,38} Studies identified bacteria, especially *Actinomyces* species associated with active osteoclastic resorption on the necrotic bone surface.³⁹

Angiogenesis inhibition is another major hypothesis attempting to explain the occurrence of ONJ. Bisphosphonates, especially nitrogen-containing BPs, induce a significant decrease in microvessel density in vivo, e.g. zoledronic acid inhibits proliferation and reduces the number and adhesion of circulating human endothelial cells.^{40,41}

Other hypotheses in the pathophysiology of ONJ incriminate a direct soft-tissue toxicity of BPs, or an immune dysfunction pointed with the significant contribution of immunomodulators in the pathophysiology of the disease, in treatment with oral BPs and steroids.^{3,38,42}

PREVENTIVE MEASURES

Prevention is crucial in MRONJ because the treatment is difficult, and there are no universally accepted treatment protocols for ONJ. There is an accepted approach of pal-

TABLE 1. Staging of Medication-Related Osteonecrosis of the Jaw (based on the American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons Position Paper on Medication-Related Osteonecrosis of the Jaw — 2014 Update).

| | |
|---------|--|
| At risk | No apparent necrotic bone in patients who have been treated with oral or intravenous bisphosphonates |
| Stage 0 | No clinical evidence of necrotic bone, but non-specific clinical findings, radiographic changes, and symptoms |
| Stage 1 | Exposed and necrotic bone or fistulas that probe to bone in patients who are asymptomatic and have no evidence of infection |
| Stage 2 | Exposed and necrotic bone or fistulas that probe to bone associated with infection as evidenced by pain and erythema in the region of exposed bone with or without purulent drainage |
| Stage 3 | Exposed and necrotic bone or a fistula that probes to bone in patients with pain, infection, and ≥ 1 of the following: exposed and necrotic bone extending beyond the region of alveolar bone (i.e., inferior border and ramus in mandible, maxillary sinus, and zygoma in maxilla) resulting in pathologic fracture, extraoral fistula, oral antral or oral nasal communication, or osteolysis extending to inferior border of the mandible or sinus floor |

liation of symptoms and controlling the associated infections. Full dental screening and appropriate treatment are needed before treatment with antiresorptive or antiangiogenic drugs. All invasive treatments, especially dentoalveolar procedures, should be performed before starting treatment for ONJ.^{25,43,44}

The dental protocol for cancer patients requiring oncology doses of intravenous antiresorptive drugs should include dental examination with dental radiographs to identify dental diseases before the initiation of oncology therapy. At this point, the dental practitioner should perform all necessary invasive treatments such as extractions or dental implants, and non-urgent procedures should be evaluated and treated only when necessary, potentially including a drug holiday if the condition of the patient allows it. The decision to discontinue therapy with bone-active agents must also consider the risk of fracture and implications for skeletal health.⁴⁵

MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

There are no universally accepted protocols for ONJ, but there is an accepted approach of palliation of symptoms and controlling the associated infections. Treatment strategies are a difficult challenge for clinicians, ranging from conservative nonsurgical intervention to extensive surgery. It is necessary to establish an appropriate and efficient treatment for patients undergoing therapy for ONJ. A multidisciplinary team approach, formed by an oncologist, a maxillofacial surgeon, and a dentist, is advised for evaluating and proposing an efficient and appropriate ONJ therapy. It should start with conservative treatment be-

cause the primary goals of treatment are the preservation of quality of life through control of necrosis progression, secondary infection, and pain.³

Treatment may follow one of the three procedures: conservative management of pain, conservative surgery or extensive (segmental) surgery, depending on the disease stage. Table 1 presents the staging system proposed by the AAOMS.³

Patients suitable for conservative management would be those considered to be at risk and/or in stage 0 and I.³ Conservative management includes a rigorous oral hygiene, periodic dental checks on a quarterly basis, elimination of oral dental and periodontal disease, oral daily rinses, and antibiotic treatment. In most studies, these measures resulted in improvement of symptoms, osteonecrosis stabilization, and, consequently, increased quality of life. Chlorhexidine-based mouth rinses are of choice, as this antimicrobial substance is the “gold standard” in oral dental surgical procedures. The most widely used antibiotics are amoxicillin with or without clavulanic acid, clindamycin, and azithromycin.^{46,47} Other therapies, such as hyperbaric oxygen, ozone therapy, and low-power laser therapy, complete the success rates of conservative treatment.⁴⁸ In this category, we could also include patients who, for health reasons, are not candidates for surgical treatment. This therapy continues indefinitely or until there is a progression of the disease.

In stage I, if exposed and necrotic bone or fistulae are present, they are rinsed with an antiseptic liquid and covered with an adhesive paste, three times a day. It is possible to proceed to surgical debridement in the absence of healing after eight weeks.⁴⁹

As described in Table 1, surgical management is indicated in stage II and III when the exposed and necrotic bone is accompanied by pain, infection, or pathologic fractures. According to the severity of the lesions, the surgical procedures are different.

In stage II conservative surgery is recommended, which involves the removal of dead bone (sequestrectomy) and/or superficial surgical debridement of necrotic bone associated with oral antibiotics and daily rinses with chlorhexidine. The intervention should be as conservative as possible, but extended to reach healthy-appearing, bleeding bone.⁴⁹ In 2008, Wutzl *et al.* described the first prospective study reporting the outcome of treatment in a cohort of patients with BRONJ six months after the surgical treatment.⁵⁰ They concluded that minimal resection of the necrotic bone and local soft tissue closure might provide a satisfactory result for patients with established BRONJ.⁵⁰ Vescovi *et al.* obtained good results in MRONJ by combining surgical debridement with laser therapy.⁵¹ In 2012, a study conducted by Martins *et al.* revealed that healing rates were higher among patients treated with low-intensity laser therapy and platelet-rich plasma applied to the surgical wound compared with those who did not receive this kind of treatment.⁵²

In stage III, marginal or extensive (segmental) surgery is indicated for eliminating all the necrotic tissue, leaving only healthy bone with resection margins that extend into adjacent normal-appearing bone.^{53–57} The closure of the soft tissue should be tension-free, with no underlying sharp edges of bone.

Extensive surgery is only indicated if it could improve the patient's quality of life, since in many cases there are no guarantees in obtaining healthy bone margins. Otherwise, a conservative approach to control symptoms and to prevent the progression of osteonecrosis is required.⁵³

Additional measures, such as the combination of surgery with other therapies such as ozone, laser therapy, the use of stem cells, platelet-rich plasma, or the administration of parathyroid hormone, are also promising therapy strategies, but further clinical studies are needed to confirm their efficacy.^{48,49,58–63}

CONCLUSION

A multidisciplinary team including oncologists, maxillofacial surgeons, dentist specialists should be involved in the therapeutic algorithm of medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw in order to provide a proper management and informing the patients on the involved oral cavity risks. All patients should be evaluated by a dentist before antire-

sorptive drug administration begins. They should receive treatment for any pathology in the orodental area, and the patients' oral hygiene status, tooth decay, and active periodontitis should be evaluated. Dental practitioners should document bisphosphonate use in the patient's clinical history. The patients at risk for MRONJ should be monitored and examined after any surgical intervention in the orodental area in the next 12 months at least. The situation could be improved by increasing the number of studies conducted both in vitro and in vivo. The scientific community could help to expand and improve our knowledge about MRONJ and develop better strategies for patient care.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Nothing to declare.

REFERENCES

- Marx RE. Pamidronate (Aredia) and zoledronate (Zometa) induced avascular necrosis of the jaws: a growing epidemic. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2003;61:1115-1117.
- Ruggiero SL, Dodson TB, Assael LA, Landesberg R, Marx RE, Mehrotra B. American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons position paper on bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaws: 2009 update. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2009;67(5suppl):2-12.
- Ruggiero SL, Dodson TB, Fantasia J, et al. American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons position paper on medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw – 2014 update. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2014;72:1938-1956.
- Nussbaum SR, Younger J, Vandepol CJ, et al. Single-dose intravenous therapy with pamidronate for the treatment of hypercalcemia of malignancy: Comparison of 30-, 60-, and 90-mg dosages. *Am J Med.* 1993;95:297-304.
- Hortobagyi GN, Theriault RL, Lipton A, et al. Long-term prevention of skeletal complications of metastatic breast cancer with pamidronate. Protocol 19 Aredia Breast Cancer Study Group. *J Clin Oncol.* 1998;16:2038-2044.
- Saad F, Gleason DM, Murray R, et al. Long-term efficacy of zoledronic acid for the prevention of skeletal complications in patients with metastatic hormone-refractory prostate cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2004;96:879-882.
- Berenson JR, Hillner BE, Kyle RA, et al. American society of clinical oncology clinical practice guidelines: The role of bisphosphonates in multiple myeloma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2002;20:3719-3736.
- Delmas PD. The use of bisphosphonates in the treatment of osteoporosis. *Curr Opin Rheumatol.* 2005;17:462-466.
- Medical economics. Physicians' Desk Reference. 57th ed. Montvale, NJ: Medical Economics; 2003.
- Delmas PD, Meunier PJ. The management of Paget's disease of bone. *N Engl J Med.* 1997;336:558-566.
- Delmas PD. Clinical potential of RANKL inhibition for the management of postmenopausal osteoporosis and other metabolic bone diseases. *J Clin Densitom.* 2008;11:325-338.
- Fizazi K, Carducci M, Smith M, et al. Denosumab versus zoledronic acid for treatment of bone metastases in men with castration-resistant prostate cancer: A randomised, double-blind study. *Lancet.* 2011;377:813-822.
- Tenore G, Palaia G, Gaimari G, et al. Medication-Related Osteonecrosis of the Jaws (MRONJ): Etiological update. *Senses Sci.* 2014;1:147-152.
- Barasch A, Cunha-Cruz J, Curro FA, et al. Risk factors for osteonecrosis of the jaws: a case-control study from the CONDOR dental PBRN. *J Dent Res.* 2011;90:439-444.
- Zervas K, Verrou E, Teleioudis Z, et al. Incidence, risk factors and management of osteonecrosis of the jaw in patients with multiple myeloma: a single-centre experience in 303 patients. *Br J Haematol.* 2006;134:620-623.

16. Hoff AO, Toth BB, Altundag K, et al. Frequency and risk factors associated with osteonecrosis of the jaw in cancer patients treated with intravenous bisphosphonates. *J Bone Miner Res.* 2008;23:826-836.
17. Vahtsevanos K, Kyrgidis A, Verrou E, et al. Longitudinal cohort study of risk factors in cancer patients of bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27:5356-5362.
18. Badros A, Weikel D, Salama A, et al. Osteonecrosis of the jaw in multiple myeloma patients: clinical features and risk factors. *J Clin Oncol.* 2006;24:945-952.
19. Kyrgidis A, Vahtsevanos K, Koloutsos G, et al. Bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaws: a case-control study of risk factors in breast cancer patients. *J Clin Oncol.* 2008;26:4634-4638.
20. Aguiar BD, Bohn SU, Cabrera Suarez MA, Aguiar MJ. Assessment of renal toxicity and osteonecrosis of the jaws in patients receiving zoledronic acid for bone metastasis. *Ann Oncol.* 2007;18:556-560.
21. Lesclous P, Abi NS, Carrel JP, et al. Bisphosphonate-associated osteonecrosis of the jaw: a key role of inflammation? *Bone.* 2009;45:843-852.
22. Tsao C, Darby I, Ebeling PR, et al. Oral health risk factors for bisphosphonate-associated jaw osteonecrosis. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2013;71:1360-1366.
23. Jada F, Lee L, Pharoah M, Reece D, Wang L. A retrospective study assessing the incidence, risk factors and comorbidities of pamidronate-related necrosis of the jaws in multiple myeloma patients. *Ann Oncol.* 2007;18:2015-2019.
24. Thumbigere-Math V, Tu L, Huckabay S, et al. A retrospective study evaluating frequency and risk factors of osteonecrosis of the jaw in 576 cancer patients receiving intravenous bisphosphonates. *Am J Clin Oncol.* 2012;35:386-392.
25. Saad F, Brown JE, Van Poznak C, et al. Incidence, risk factors, and outcomes of osteonecrosis of the jaw: Integrated analysis from three blinded active-controlled phase III trials in cancer patients with bone metastases. *Ann Oncol.* 2012;23:1341-1347.
26. Diz P, Lopez-Cedrun JL, Arenaz J, Scully C. Denosumab-related osteonecrosis of the jaw. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 2012;143:981-984.
27. Papapoulos S, Chapurlat R, Libanati C, et al. Five years of denosumab exposure in women with postmenopausal osteoporosis: results from the first two years of the FREEDOM extension. *J Bone Miner Res.* 2012;27:694-701.
28. Lacey DL, Boyle WJ, Simonet WS, et al. Bench to bedside: elucidation of the OPG-RANK-RANKL pathway and the development of denosumab. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* 2012;11:401.
29. Coleman RE, Major P, Lipton A, et al. Predictive value of bone resorption and formation markers in cancer patients with bone metastases receiving the bisphosphonate zoledronic acid. *J Clin Oncol.* 2005;23:4925.
30. Stewart AF. Clinical practice. Hypercalcemia associated with cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2005;352:373.
31. Stopeck AT, Lipton A, Body JJ, et al. Denosumab compared with zoledronic acid for the treatment of bone metastases in patients with advanced breast cancer: a randomized, double-blind study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010;28:5132.
32. Henry DH, Costa L, Goldwasser F, et al. Randomized, double-blind study of denosumab versus zoledronic acid in the treatment of bone metastases in patients with advanced cancer (excluding breast and prostate cancer) or multiple myeloma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2011;29:1125.
33. Kimmel DB. Mechanism of action, pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profile, and clinical applications of nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates. *J Dent Res.* 2007;86:1022.
34. Baron R, Ferrari S, Russell RG. Denosumab and bisphosphonates: different mechanisms of action and effects. *Bone.* 2011;48:677.
35. Suzuki K, Takeyama S, Sakai Y, Yamada S, Shinoda H. Current topics in pharmacological research on bone metabolism: inhibitory effects of bisphosphonates on the differentiation and activity of osteoclasts. *J Pharmacol Sci.* 2006;100:189.
36. Ito M, Amizuka N, Nakajima T, Ozawa H. Ultrastructural and cytochemical studies on cell death of osteoclasts induced by bisphosphonate treatment. *Bone.* 1999;25:447.
37. Marx R. Oral and intravenous bisphosphonate induced osteonecrosis of the jaws: history, etiology, prevention, and treatment. 2nd ed. New Malden: Quintessence Publishing; 2007.
38. Marx RE, Sawatari Y, Fortin M, Broumand V. Bisphosphonate-induced exposed bone (osteonecrosis/osteopetrosis) of the jaws: risk factors, recognition, prevention, and treatment. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2005;63:1567.
39. Hansen T, Kunkel M, Weber A, et al. Osteonecrosis of the jaws in patients treated with bisphosphonates—Histomorphologic analysis in comparison with infected osteoradionecrosis. *J Oral Pathol Med.* 2006;35:155.
40. Pabst AM, Ziebart T, Ackermann M, Konerding MA, Walter C. Bisphosphonates' antiangiogenic potency in the development of bisphosphonate-associated osteonecrosis of the jaws: influence on microvessel sprouting in an in vivo 3D Matrigel assay. *Clin Oral Investig.* 2014;18:1015.
41. McLeod NM, Brennan PA, Ruggiero SL. Bisphosphonate osteonecrosis of the jaw: a historical and contemporary review. *Surgeon.* 2012;10:36.
42. Reid IR, Bolland MJ, Grey AB. Is bisphosphonate-associated osteonecrosis of the jaw caused by soft tissue toxicity? *Bone.* 2007;41:318.
43. Dimopoulos MA, Kastritis E, Bamia C, et al. Reduction of osteonecrosis of the jaw (ONJ) after implementation of preventive measures in patients with multiple myeloma treated with zoledronic acid. *Ann Oncol.* 2009;20:117-120.
44. Vandone AM, Donadio M, Mozzati M, et al. Impact of dental care in the prevention of bisphosphonate-associated osteonecrosis of the jaw: A single-center clinical experience. *Ann Oncol.* 2012;23:193-200.
45. Khan AA, Morrison A, Hanley DA, et al. Diagnosis and management of osteonecrosis of the jaw: a systematic review and international consensus. *J Bone Miner Res.* 2015;30:3-23.
46. Lerman MA, Xie W, Treister NS, Richardson PG, Weller EA, Woo SB. Conservative management of bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaws: staging and treatment outcomes. *Oral Oncol.* 2013;49:977-983.
47. Melea PI, Melakopoulos I, Kastritis E, Tesseromatis C, Margaritis V, Dimopoulos MA. Conservative treatment of bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw in multiple myeloma patients. *Int J Dent.* 2014;2014:427273.
48. Vescovi P, Merigo E, Meleti M, Manfredi M, Fornaini C, Nammour S. Conservative surgical management of stage I bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw. *Int J Dent.* 2014;2014:107690.
49. Romeo U, Galanakis A, Marias C, et al. Observation of pain control in patients with bisphosphonate-induced osteonecrosis using low level laser therapy: Preliminary results. *Photomed Laser Surg.* 2011;29:447-452.
50. Wutzl A, Biedermann E, Wanschitz F, Seemann R, Klug C, Baumann A. Treatment results of bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaws. *Head Neck.* 2008;30:1224-1230.
51. Vescovi P, Merigo E, Meleti M, Manfredi M, Guidotti R, Nammour S. Bisphosphonates-related osteonecrosis of the jaws: a concise review of the literature and a report of a single-centre experience with 151 patients. *J Oral Pathol Med.* 2012;41:214-221.
52. Martins MA, Martins MD, Lascala CA, Curi MM, Migliorati CA, Tenis CA. Association of laser phototherapy with PRP improves healing of bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaws in cancer patients: a preliminary study. *Oral Oncol.* 2012;48:79-84.
53. Rugani P, Acham S, Kirnbauer B, Truschneegg A, Obermayer-Pietsch B, Jakse N. Stage-related treatment concept of medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw—a case series. *Clin Oral Investig.* 2015;19:1329-38.
54. Carlson ER, Basile JD. The role of surgical resection in the management of bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaws. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2009;67(5Suppl):85-95.
55. Brauner E, Guarino G, Jamshir S, et al. Evaluation of highly porous dental implants in postablative oral and maxillofacial cancer patients: A prospective pilot clinical case series report. *Implant Dent.* 2015;24:631-637.
56. Seth R, Futran ND, Alam DS, Knott PD. Outcomes of vascularized bone graft reconstruction of the mandible in bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaws. *Laryngoscope.* 2010;120:2165-71.
57. Pompa G, Bignozzi I, Cristalli MP, Quaranta A, Di Carlo S. Bisphosphonates and Osteonecrosis of the jaw: The oral surgeon's perspective. *Eur J Inflamm.* 2012;10:11-23.
58. Agrillo A, Filiaci F, Ramieri V, Riccardi E, Quarato D, Rinna C. Bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw (BRONJ): 5 year experience in the treatment of 131 cases with ozone therapy. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci.* 2012;16:1741-1747.
59. González-García M, Rodríguez-Lozano FJ, Villanueva V, Segarra-Fenoll D, Rodríguez-González MA, Oñate-Sánchez R. Cell therapy in bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw. *J Craniofac Surg.* 2013;24:e226-e228.
60. Cella L, Oppici A, Arbasi M, Moretto M, Piepoli M, Vallisa D. Autologous bone marrow stem cell intralesional transplantation repairing bisphosphonate related osteonecrosis of the jaw. *Head Face Med.* 2011;7:16.
61. Curi MM, Cossolin GS, Koga DH, Zardetto C, Christianini S, Feher O. Bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaws—an initial case series report of treatment combining partial bone resection and autologous platelet-rich plasma. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2011;69:2465-2472.
62. Cheung A, Seeman E. Teriparatide therapy for alendronate-associated osteonecrosis of the jaw. *N Engl J Med.* 2010;363:2473-2474.
63. Neuprez A, Rompen E, Crielaard JM, Reginster JY. Teriparatide therapy for denosumab-induced osteonecrosis of the jaw in a male osteoporotic patient. *Calcif Tissue Int.* 2014;95:94-96.